

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,023	06/27/2001	Frank O'Mahony	884.405US1	3406
75	90 06/29/2004		EXAMINER	
Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A.			SEFER, AHMED N	
P.O. Box 2938	<u> </u>	•		<u></u>
Minneapolis, M	IN 55402	5402 ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
_			2826	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

a a	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/893,023	O'MAHONY ET AL.	O'MAHONY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u>.</u> .			
	A. Sefer	2826				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the action of the proof of the company of t	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this commur	nication.			
Status			•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>24 March 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b	o)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>13-18 and 26-47</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☑ Claim(s) <u>13-18,26,29,30 and 34-47</u> is 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>27,28 and 31-33</u> is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from consideration. /are allowed. ted.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in f the priority documents have bee al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	ge			
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152))			

Application/Control Number: 09/893,023

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on March 24, 2004 has been entered and new claims 46 and 47 have been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 27, 28 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. ("Lee") USPN 6,144,224 in view of Chi (IEEE transactions on computers, VOL. 43, NO. 5, May 1994)/Chi USPN 5,387,885 ("'885").

Lee discloses (see figs. 2-5 and abstract) a microelectronic die comprising a clock signal source 49 to provide a clock signal; and a clock signal distribution network to distribute the clock signal to multiple clocked elements within the microelectronic die, the clock signal distribution network including a number of on-die interconnect sections having first 44a and second 44b differential signal lines on a first metal A/B layer of the microelectronic die, the first and second differential signal lines being substantially parallel to one another; and a number of conductive links 41a/41b, wherein a first conductive link of the number of conductive links couples the first differential signal line of a first one of the interconnect sections to the first differential signal line of the second one of the interconnect sections and a second conductive link of the number of conductive links couples the first one of the

Application/Control Number: 09/893,023

Art Unit: 2826

interconnect sections to the second differential signal line of the second one of the interconnect sections, but does not specifically disclose using salphasic clocking techniques.

Chi discloses (see abstract) the advantages of salphasic clocking techniques.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Chi's teachings with Lee's device, since that would substantially reduce clock skews as taught by Chi. It would have been obvious to incorporate the teachings of '885 with Lee's device, since that would minimize phase shifts.

As for claim 28, Lee discloses a microelectronic die including a microprocessor circuitry 45.

As for claims 31-33, Lee disclose a number of traces 41a/41b including signal lines (as in claim 33) on metal layer A/B being capacitively coupled to and non parallel or substantially orthogonal (as in claim 32) with said first and second differential signal lines.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 13-18, 26, 29, 30 and 34-47 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wood USPN 6,556,089 discloses an electronic circuitry concerning timing signals.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,023

Art Unit: 2826

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Sefer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1921.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANS June 24, 2004

> NATHAN J. FLYNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800